RESOLUTION NO. 2012-6

A RESOLUTION OF THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF KEY BISCAYNE, FLORIDA, APPROVING THE **PROPOSAL** BY COASTAL **SYSTEMS** INTERNATIONAL, INC. ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT "A" RELATING TO UPLAND BEACH SAND SOURCE TESTING THE **FOR BEACH** RENOURISHMENT **PROJECT:** AUTHORIZING THE VILLAGE MANAGER TO EXECUTE A WORK ORDER CONSISTENT WITH THE TERMS OF THE PROPOSAL; PROVIDING FOR IMPLEMENTATION; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, pursuant to a contractual agreement previously entered into between the Village of Key Biscayne (the "Village") and Coastal Systems International, Inc. (the "Engineer"), the Engineer has been retained by the Village in connection with services needed for the Village of Key Biscayne Beach Renourishment Projects; and

WHEREAS, the Engineer, pursuant to its continuing contract, has submitted the proposal attached as Exhibit "A," proposed to perform certain sand source testing (geologic) and reporting by Scientific Environmental Applications, Inc. (SEA) for alternate sources of beach compatible sand for the beach renourishment project available from planned excavations (the "Proposal"); and

WHEREAS, the Village Council desires to authorize the Village Manager to execute a work authorization consistent with the Proposal; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council finds that this Resolution is in the best interest and welfare of the residents of the Village.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE VILLAGE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF KEY BISCAYNE, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals Adopted. Each of the above stated recitals are hereby adopted, confirmed and incorporated herein.

Section 2. Proposal Approved. The Village Council hereby approves the Proposal attached as Exhibit "A" submitted by the Engineer.

Section 3. Village Manager Authorized. The Village Manager is hereby authorized to execute a work authorization with the Engineer, consistent with the proposal attached hereto as Exhibit "A," subject to approval as to form, content, and legal sufficiency by the Village Attorney.

Section 4. Implementation. The Village Manager is hereby authorized to take any and all necessary action to implement the purposes of this Resolution and the Proposal.

Section 5. Effective Date. This Resolution shall be effective immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _7th_ day of February, 2012

MAYOR FRANKLINH CAPLAN

ATPEST:

CONCHITA H. ALVAREZ, MMC, VILLAGE CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:

VILLAGE ATTORNEY

SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL

COASTAL SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

464 South Dixie Highway • Coral Gables, Florida 33146 Tel: 305-661-3655 • Fax: 305-661-1914

www.coastalsystemsint.com

WORK AUTHORIZATION CONFIRMATION

| Date: | January 31, 2012 | Proje | ect No.: | 135040.02 |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|
| | | | Mr. John | |
| From: | Coastal Systems International, Inc. | To: | | E OF KEY BISCAYNE |
| | 464 South Dixie Highway | | | McIntyre Street |
| | Coral Gables, Florida 33146 | | Key Bise | cayne, Florida 33149 |
| RE: | VILLAGE OF KEY BISCAYNE BEACH M FLORIDA | <u> Iaintenance</u> | PROJECT | MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, |
| | is to confirm that verbal authorization was according to the terms of our proposal (or pr | | | International to proceed you dated |
| | s is to confirm authorization for Coastal vices: | Systems Intern | national, I | nc. to provide the following |
| PART 12 | -UPLAND SAND SOURCE INVESTIGATION | <u>ON</u> | | |
| conduct services in accor for use i | Systems will retain Scientific Environable the beach sand compatibility testing. The sand to be excavated as part of the dance with Florida DEP requirements in the Key Biscayne Beach Renourish these additional services are: p Sum at \$20,810. | and reporting he Sonesta de s by a Profess | ; as outlir velopmen | ned in the attached scope of it will be sampled and tested |
| one origi | will be invoiced in accordance with our nal copy of this work authorization for o tion, please contact me at (305) 669-8650 | ur files. Shoul | d you hav | e any questions regarding this |
| For: | Coastal Systems International, Inc. | For: | Vil | lage of Key Biscayne |
| Signed: | J. & Blomburg | Signo | ed; | QC.A. |
| | Timothy K. Blankenship, Director | | / + | |
| Date: | January 31, 2012 | Date | (: <u> </u> | 2/21/12 |
| | PC, AL, Cantract Book, Accounting 1.02\Proposals\(12-01-30) PRO J Gilbert - Swim Buyos.doc | \ | \bigvee | |

Methods Proposed for Sand Source Evaluation of an Inland Project Site in Key Biscayne, Florida

Scientific Environmental Applications, Inc. (S.E.A.), Melbourne, FL

Introduction

In order to characterize the sand resources within the Key Biscayne project site for beach compatibility twelve core borings will be extracted and analyzed for stratigraphic and textural properties. The goal of the analysis is to assemble all information required by the permitting agencies to allow beach quality sand to be excavated from the project site and placed on the adjacent beach. Methods and procedures of the analysis are listed below.

Core Borings

The core boring method will consist of a hydraulically operated rotary drill head capable of a continuous cut through unconsolidated and semi-consolidated sediments. The total length of each core boring will be approximately 25 feet below the topographic surface. Previous work in the project area has shown that the Key Largo Limestone is at an approximate elevation of -20 ft NAVD. The topographic surface of the project site varies between about 0 and +5 ft. NGVD. Thus, the core boring of 25 feet will penetrate though all of the sandy overburden and at some points reach into the upper few feet of the limestone. This will assure the ability to characterize the entire thickness of sandy sediments above the limestone.

During the coring process samples will taken continuously along the core using a split spoon sampler. The samples will be placed in stratigraphic order in archive boxes for transport to the laboratory.

Core Logs

The core borings will be visually inspected, and logged in detail according to ASTM D2488, the standard practice for visual descriptions of the stratigraphic soil layers. Results of the logging procedure will be coded into the gINTTM software customized for the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) ROSS database. The gINTTM software includes Engineering

Form 1836 commonly used by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for core log presentation. During the logging procedure, particular attention will be paid to lithology, texture, silt and clay content, shell content, and Munsell color. Samples for grain-size analysis will be taken at intervals warranted by changes in lithology. A composite sample of each core will also be taken to represent the interval that corresponds to beach quality sand. Results of the grain-size analysis procedure described below will be compared with the core logs to insure consistency between the soil classification listed on the core logs and the classification of individual samples. An example of a core log from a borrow area offshore of Broward County, FL is shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows the corresponding grin size distribution plot for a sample from core BC09-01.




Figure 1. Example of a core log on Engineering Form 1836 modified for FDEP.




Figure 2. Grain Size distribution plot corresponding to the top sample shown of core log BC09-01 shown in Figure 1.

| Gra Departs and o | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--|----------|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Project Name | | Golerntho Eminormantal Applications, Inc (SEA) | | | | | | | |
| Sanga Namo | | | | Scientifo Brustonnental Applications, (no (SEA) 5676 Williamstey Drive Methodome, 14, 15864) 201 354 7700 | | | | | |
| Analyzed By SEA and | | | _ | Waspillian com | | | | | |
| | | | ~ ~~ ~~ | who have | | | | | |
| 9/01/700 - 523,550 | | | 1 - " | runda State Flane East 34.2 NAVO | | | | | |
| -27 | 16.114 | 7 - | | 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | | | | | |
| : 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| دد ده | 1 2 1 1 1 | 0.06 | * 1 = 184 | #255 2 #255 2 | 16 | 52. | i | | |
| Sleve Nursuer | Seve Size (PM) | Signia Size (Millimeters) | Grama Retained | M We Retail | Of 1 C. | ur. Grams Rataines | C % Wards Related | | |
| 1/4. | 425 | 18 03 | 000 | 00 | | 0.00 | 000 | | |
| 5/8" | 400 | 15.00 | ۵۵٥ | 00 |) | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| 7/10" | -3.50 | 1131 | 0.00 | 6.0 | 3 | 000 | 0.00 | | |
| 6/131 | 300 | 5 DO | 000 | 0.0 | , | o na | 000 | | |
| 3 3 | 2 60 | 6,60 | DQ (1 | 8 00 | , | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| 4 | 2 25 | 476 | 0 00 | 000 | | 0 00 | 000 | | |
| 5 | 3 4/0 | 404 | 0 03 | 00 | 1 | OES | 0.06 | | |
| r | 1 50 | 2.83 | 0 27 | 0.4 | , | Ø 31 | 0.53 | | |
| 10 | · Y OR | 2 0/1 | 2 66 | 1,10 | , | 0.34 | 1 89 | | |
| 14 | 41 500 | 1.41 | 0.85 | 1.40 | | 1 85 | 3.17 | | |
| 18 | 000 | 1.03 | 161 | 27 | 5 | 2 45 | 5.60 | | |
| 25 | 0.50 | י גלט | 2.82 | 4 83 | | 8 27 | 1076 | | |
| 35 | (100 | 0 50 | 540 | 975 | | 11,87 | 20,00 | | |
| 46 | 1.50 | D JS | 8.12 | 10 4 | 8 | 17,78 | 30 48 | | |
| 86 | 200 | n 26 | 17 10 | 29.3 | 6 | 3490 | 59.86 | | |
| Pid | 750 | 0 14 | 12/14 | 22,1 | | 47.87 | 8209 | | |
| 126 | .2 00 | 013 | 752 | 120 | 1 | 56.40 | 9491 | | |
| 170 | 3.50 | C. (Ya | 167 | 2 00 | | 57 007 | 97 77 | | |
| XXX) | 3 75 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 1 | 57.10 | 8784 | | |
| 230 | 4.00 | 6.06 | 0.50 | 0.00 | | 57 10 | 97.84 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 11 5 | PN 16 | Ph 13 | Ph:50 | Phi 7 | 5 | PN 84 | 17th Ocs | | |
| 300 | 2.51 | 234 | 1,83 | 1 24 | | 0.70 | 6 17 | | |
| displayi | Muan (%) | Vezis myn | So | Serving | | 295 | Kultakis | | |
| Statistica | 1 67 | 031 | 031 0 | | 23 -1,00 | | 40 | | |

Figure 3. Data table corresponding to the grain size distribution plot shown in Figure 2.

Sample Analysis

Each sample will be split into two sub-samples. One of the two sub-samples will be used to perform the various analyses and the second sub-sample will be archived. Grain size analysis will be according to ASTM Standard D-422 for mechanical particle size analysis of the soils. Analysis will be conducted by mechanical sieving using a set of nested screens that divide sediments at phi intervals from -4 to +3.5 phi and will include the +3.75 phi (#200 mesh screen) required by the FDEP. Weight retained on each sieve is used to compute grain-size distribution in terms of weight percent of sample in each size class. Weights are recorded on a Lab Grain Size Data Sheet. For bulk fine (silt and clay fraction) and coarse content, the ASTM D1140 (ASTM, 2008) and the Wentworth (1929) procedures of determining percent fine fraction will be followed. The percent fine sediment retained on #230 and #200 sieves is also reported on Lab Grain Size Data Sheet generated from the gDNT TM software.

Grain size distribution of samples processed in accordance with the above procedures will be analyzed using the method of moments and graphic methods as described by Folk (1974). The software platform used for the calculation in the gINT TM geotechnical software. This software is guided by a software library developed specifically for the Florida DEP by gINT TM. Tabular summaries of each sample will be generated for sieve size, phi size, and mesh opening size in millimeters, weight of sediment retained in grams, cumulative percent retained, and cumulative percent passing. Sample statistics (e.g., mean, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis) are displayed in the summary tables. The sample classification according to the USCS is automatically generated by the gINT Software. A frequency plot of grain size distribution is provided for each sample in accordance with USACE Form 2087. Figure 2 is an example of the grain size frequency plot generated by the gINT Software. Figure 3 is an example of the corresponding data table.

A high temperature burn method will be used to determine the carbonate content of each discrete and composite sample. This method involves igniting a pre-weighed sample at 1080°C for 8 hours. During ignition, the carbonate (calcite) crystal lattice is broken down, carbon dioxide

released, and only the calcium atoms remain. Thus, the weight percent carbonate can be easily calculated knowing the atomic weights of the atoms that form the calcite lattice.

Final Report

The final report will describes the goals, methods, results and include a series of appendices listing the core logs and grain size analysis of the discrete and composite samples. An assessment will be made of the beach quality of the sand source. Interpretation of the results will include sand volume calculations. Additional products will include the data set presented in the various database formats required by the FDEP. Among these products are the ACCESS Database file exported from the gINT TM software, GIS layers depicting the location of the core borings and volume of the beach quality sand. Figure 4 is an example of a stratigraphic model produced from a series of core borings from an upland sand mine located in Indian River County. From the model developed for the Key Biscayne project site volumes of individual layers will be calculated, as well as the volume of the entire sand body.




Figure 4. Example of a 3D geologic model generated from geotechnical data collected from core borings within an upland sand mine in Indian River County, FL.

Project Costs

Table 1 list the proposed project costs by tasks. The costs are based on a total of ten 25-foot core borings. From each core 5 samples will be analyzed including a composite of the beach quality interval. Unit costs for the analysis are provided along with labor costs from assembling the final products to complete the final report. The proposed cost for the 10 core borings includes mobilization costs.

Table 1. Proposed Project Costs

| Saltusource Eyaluation | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|--|
| Tasks | Hours/units | Rate | Total |
| Trustico e Borne | 经基础的 | | 3、"能力"经验。 |
| Ten core borings (Ardaman) | 1 | | \$7,200.00 |
| Gary Zarillo, Supervision | 40 | \$92.00 | \$3,680.00 |
| Hade2/Coxellopes for a mixed by the second | | | |
| Core Logs in FDEP format | 10 | \$115.00 | \$1,150.00 |
| PaskessampleProcessings Sample Processing for grain size to FDEP specs. | 50 | \$46.00 | \$2,300.00 |
| Percent Carbonate testing on discrete and composite samples | 50 | \$14.00 | \$700.00 |
| Percent Organic testing on discrete and composite samples | 50 | \$14.00 | \$700.00 |
| Wet sieving on discrete and composite samples | 50 | \$14.00 | \$700.00 |
| Color code according to Munsell | 50 | \$14.00 | \$700.00 |
| | | | |
| Task 4 Emap Report Action | | | 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| Final Report including all FDEP products | | | |
| and 3D model of sand resource | 40 | \$92.00 | \$3,680.00 |
| Total (S.E.A., Inc.) | | | \$20,810.00 |